

Textile Wastewater Treated by Constructed Wetlands – A Critical Review

Amjad Hussein^{1,2}

¹ Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, Al-Muthanna University, Iraq

² Civil Engineering Research Group, School of Computing, Science and Engineering, University of Salford, Salford, Greater Manchester M5 4WT, United Kingdom

E-mail: amjad.muhamad@mu.edu.iq

ABSTRACT

Textile industries are among the most environmentally unsustainable businesses, releasing large amounts of effluent that endangers ecosystem health. Constructed wetlands (CWs) are low-cost eco-technical treatments for industrial wastewater control. The CWs are self-contained remediation systems that do not require external energy and have basic mechanisms for pollutant removal, such as biological, chemical, and physical processes. For more than sixty years, constructed wetlands have been utilized to clean wastewater. Most applications have been developed to treat municipal or household wastewater, although CWs are now successfully used to treat a wide range of wastewater types. Constructed wetlands were also employed to treat textile industry effluents in the 1990s. The survey indicated that textile manufacturing wastewaters were treated using subsurface and surface-flow wetlands. Both horizontal and vertical flow systems have been designed within subsurface flow-created wetlands. In addition, many hybrid-built wetlands have recently been documented in the literature for textile industrial wastewater treatment. According to the survey, textile industrial wastewater is treated in constructed wetlands on all continents, and this research includes the data from 65 constructed wetlands in 21 nations worldwide. This paper examined the latest improvements and discoveries in CWs and the many types of CWs used for textile wastewater treatment. The paper also demonstrated state-of-the-art integrated technologies for improving the performance and sustainability of CWs, such as CW-MFC systems.

Keywords: azo dye, constructed wetlands, textile wastewater, treatment.

INTRODUCTION

The textile industry sector is one of the most critical and largest industrial sectors in many countries; India (Sivakumar et al., 2013), Turkey (Yalcuk and Dogdu, 2014), European countries, and the USA (Yaseen and Scholz, 2019). It is estimated that globally 280, 000 tons of textile dyes are discharged in textile industrial effluent every year (Jin et al. 2007). They affect the economic development worldwide due to the growing environmental pollution from these industries (Hossain et al., 2018). Large quantities of pure water will be consumed. The textile dyeing industry will produce a large volume of wastewater from various processing of dyeing and finishing (Ojstršek et al., 2007; Hussein and Scholz, 2017), as shown in Table 1. The majority of chemical

substances, including dyes, are only partially metabolized (Bidu et al., 2021). Because the textile effluent wastewater is being discharged into the river without having any effect of degradation or reduction on the water environment, the river's water is unfit for irrigation, drinking, and aquatic life (Hussein, 2017, Hussein and Scholz, 2018). In addition, a significant number of the dyes that are utilized in the textile industry are carcinogenic and toxic (Dos Santos et al., 2007). Additionally, hazardous materials known as aromatic amines will be released because of the use of azo dyes in textiles (Hussein, 2017). This category of wastewater can be treated using a variety of approaches, including physicochemical and biological methods. The first approach is not only expensive, but it also generates significant amounts of sludge and discharges some dyes (Costa et al.,

Table 1. List of some of the pollutants generated at each stage of textile processing (Holkar et al., 2016)

Desizing stage							
Sizes		Enzymes		Starch		Waxes	
Scouring stage							
NaOH	Surfactants	Soaps	Fats	Pectin	Oils	Sizes	Waxes
Bleaching stage							
H2O2		Sodium Silicate		Organic stabilizer		Alkaline pH	
Dyeing stage							
Colors	Metals	Salts	Surfactant		Alkaline / Acidic		
Printing stage							
Colors		Metals		Urea	Formaldehyde	Solvents	
Finishing stage							
Softeners		Solvents		Resins		Waxes	

2012). In contrast, the second method, which may involve the creation of constructed wetlands, is not only significantly cheaper than the methods that came before it, but also friendly to the environment and do not result in the production of a significant amount of sludge (Tee et al., 2015; Shenoy et al., 2022).

Constructed wetlands mimic the treatment that occurs in real wetlands. Constructed wetlands are classified into horizontal, vertical, and hybrid flow constructed wetlands, depending on the direction of water movement (Vymazal, 2014). CWs consist of a properly designed basin that contains plant, water, substrate, and microorganisms. substrate, such as sand, soil, and gravel, plays a major role in supporting plants' growth and micro-organisms (Hussein and Scholz, 2017). The plants provide many benefits and contribute to the creation of the conditions that affect the efficiency of constructed wetlands (Stefanakis et al., 2014); they can release oxygen from their roots into the rhizosphere (Brix, 1994); This process is essential for assisting subsurface flow CWs in aerobic degradation and nitrification. In addition, numerous kinds of research have proven that plants play a crucial role in nutrient absorption (Vymazal, 2007; Hussein and Scholz, 2017, 2018). Microorganisms are one of the primary factors on which constructed wetland functions for wastewater treatment rely heavily (Brix, 2003). Numerous researchers have noted that micro-organism communities exist in aerobic and anaerobic wetlands (Scholz et al., 2001; Meng et al., 2014) and that artificial wetlands provide the optimal habitat for micro-organism growth (Saeed and Sun, 2012). Due to the interaction of physical, biological, and chemical processes that occur in CWs during the

purification of wastewater and the transformation of phosphorus and nitrogen, microorganisms play a significant factor in reducing the organic pollutants of textile wastewater (Kayombo et al., 2005).

Many researchers treated textile wastewater by constructed wetlands through different conditions, such as; hydraulic loading rates (Davies et al., 2006; Yalcuk and Dogdu, 2014), dye concentration (Yadav et al.; 2012, Hussein and Scholz, 2017), hydraulic retention time (Saba et al.; 2014, Chandanshive et al.; 2018), type of plant (Yalcuk and Dogdu, 2014; Fang et al., 2015), type of media (Oon et al., 2018; Jayalakshmi et al., 2022), period of experimental work (Fibbi et al.; 2012, Almaamary et al.; 2022), with/without bacteria (Kabra et al., 2013, Hussein and Scholz, 2018), with/without aeration (Masi et al.; 2019, Sethulekshmi and Chakraborty 2021), and enhancing the media by adding extra materials (Yalcuk and Dogdu, 2014).

During the last decade, many researchers have been working on integrating Microbial Fuel Cell (MFC) in constructed wetlands. Yadav et al. (2012) treated synthetic wastewater containing textile dye (Methylene Blue) with different concentrations (2000, 1500, 1000, 500) mg/l by VF-CWs integrated with Microbial Fuel Cell (MFC); this experimental work is the first one to integrate MFC with CWs. Fang et al. (2013) investigated the combination between up-flow constructed wetlands and microbial fuel cells on color removal of azo textile dye (reactive brilliant red, 150 mg/l) contaminated in synthetic wastewater. The system consists of three constructed wetlands the medium of which was gravel. The first was open-circuit CW-MFC, the second was Non-planted CW-MFC, and the third was planted CW-MFC

with *Ipomoea Aquatica* and operated with 3-day as HRT. The maximum removal percentage rates for color and COD were obtained in the third reactor, planted WC-MFC, 91 and 86 percent, respectively. Furthermore, the obtained results confirmed that microbial fuel cells enhanced pollutant removal in a constructed wetland.

Fang et al. (2015) investigated the treatment of azo textile dye (Reactive brilliant red, 500 mg/l) contaminated in synthetic wastewater using a combination of CW-MFC. The system consists of UFCW planted with *Ipomoea Aquatica* and used gravel as a medium; both polarization behavior and coulombic efficiency evaluated the MFC performance. The system operated under different HRTs (1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4) days. Fang et al. (2016) used unplanted UFCWs-MFC to treat Methyl Orange (MO), 450 mg/l, in synthetic wastewater with gravel and activated carbon as a substrate. The total duration for the experimental work was 60 days, and the samples were collected every 3 days (HRT). MFC operated in two cases, open and closed circuits. Fang et al. (2017) treated azo textile dye (brilliant red X-3B in synthetic wastewater, 300 mg/l) using a combination of VFCWs-MFC planted with *Ipomoea aquatic*.

Kurniadie et al. (2020) treated the wastewater from the textile industry in two stages. The first stage consisted of chemical pre-treatment, and the second stage consisted of VFCWs, continuous feeding, and a drainage system that was spread over the entire bed area. In order to treat the synthetic textile wastewater that contained three azo textile dyes, Oon et al. (2020) utilized a combination of chemical wastewater treatment (CWs) and microbial fuel cells (MFC) (Acid Red 18, Acid Orange 7, and Congo Red). The analyses conducted with high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and a gas chromatograph–mass spectrometer (GC–MS) both yielded the same results, which substantiated the findings. When treating textile wastewater with CW-MFC, the anaerobic anodic region has a high capacity for decolorizing azo dyes, and the aerobic cathodic region has a high capacity for mineralizing dye intermediates into less harmful or non-toxic products. Both of these processes take place simultaneously.

Patel et al. (2021) treated natural textile wastewater using a system combination of HF-CWs-MFC consisting of two reactors. The first was planted with *Fimbristylis ferruginea*, and the second was planted with plant consortium (*Fimbristylis ferruginea* and *Elymus repens*). The total

period for the experimental work was 120 days, with 4-day as an (HRT) and; 2-day in each reactor. The system operated in batch mode with a closed circuit. The bacterial community (DC5) was inoculated in the culture medium, increasing the percentage of pollutant removal. Sethulekshmi and Chakraborty (2021) treated azo textile dye (Reactive Red 22, ranging from 10 to 50 mg/l in concentration) in synthetic textile wastewater using the HFCWs system planted with *Typha*. The gravel was used in three different sizes as a wetland medium, starting from the bed, then firmly soiled to the plants. The system consists of two wetland filters, one baffled and one non-baffled, with (3-day to 7-day) as an HRT.

Saket et al. (2022) used a combination of CW-MFC on treated azo textile dye (Congo Red, 50 and 750 mg/l) contaminated with synthetic wastewater. Unplanted chambered CW was used and filled with gravel as a medium. The samples were taken every 24 h (HRT) during the experimental work (140 days). The obtained results showed the maximum color removal percentage rate was achieved at dye concentration (50 mg/l) with a value of 90 percent. In comparison, the COD removal percentage rate got at dye concentration (750 mg/l) with a value of 96 percent. These results stated that using CW-MFC leads to higher pollutant removal percentage rates.

This review aimed to collect the information regarding treating textile wastewater by subsurface flow CWs. The objective is to summarize the papers that treated this type of wastewater regarding the physical and chemical parameters of different types of constructed wetlands; horizontal, vertical, and hybrid flow.

VARIABLE PARAMETERS

Physical parameters

The physical parameters included dye concentration, color, Turbidity, Total Suspended, Total Dissolved Solids, Electrical, and Total Organic Carbon.

Dye concentration

Any increase in dye concentration leads to an increase in textile wastewater physical and chemical parameters. Furthermore, dye compounds are one of the major environmental sources of aromatic amines. Human blood continues to be associated with the current concentration of aquatic-toxic

aromatic amines (Snyderwine et al., 2002; Kirandeep et al., 2015). Each colorant contains at least one aromatic amine (Pielesz et al., 2002). CWs can degrade aromatic amine under aerobic conditions (Ong et al., 2011). The presence of plants has significantly affected dye removal percentage, as confirmed by many researchers, such as Keskinan and Lugal (2007) and Zhou and Xiang (2013). Many researchers work on dye concentration removal in both low concentrations such as (Hussein and Scholz, 2017) and (Ong et al., 2009); and high concentrations, such as (Davies et al., 2005) and (Hussein and Scholz, 2018). The removal efficiency finds to be a function of the structure and size of the dye molecule (Noonpui and Thiravetyyan 2011).

Color

The production of color in dye-pollutant water by azo dye, which blocks sunlight and is therefore harmful to the many photo-initiated chemical reactions that are essential for the survival of aquatic life in water bodies, results in the use of more dye (Yadav et al., 2012; Hussein and Scholz, 2017, Hussein, 2018). The dark color of effluent materials prevents the sunlight from reaching the surrounding area, which results in severe issues for the surrounding biological communities. When azo dyes are exposed to anaerobic conditions, they lose their color, which results in the release of aromatic amine. O'Neill et al. (2000) and Ruddon (2007) all found that the current level of aromatic amines in human blood continued to be linked with one another. The decolorization of textile dyes can be accomplished in aerobic, anaerobic, or oxygen-free environments (Van der Zee, 2002).

Turbidity, total suspended, and total dissolved solids

It is possible to interpret the degree of water clarity based on its turbidity. It is a variable that is frequently used to indicate the number of microorganisms in the water that are more significant or the number of sediments in suspension. A high level of turbidity in the water surface may also indicate an elevated level of total suspended solids (TSS), a reduction in the number of algae populations, and the possibility of damage to aquatic life (Postolache et al., 2007). In addition, a higher range of turbidity can cause an increase in the surface water temperature due to an increase in the amount of heat absorbed from the sun. This can

also cause a reduction in the amount of light that can penetrate the water, which can have an effect on photosynthesis (Hkanson, 2006). The acronym TSS stands for total suspended solids, which refers to all the particles in wastewater that can be filtered out. They are made up of inorganic and organic particles of a solid nature, such as sewage, silt, and waste products from industry (Zhang et al., 2013). A high total suspended solids concentration prevents light from reaching plants, which slows photosynthesis and reduces the amount of dissolved oxygen that plants release into the water (Bilotta and Brazier, 2008).

This is not the only physical factor that contributes to variations in temperature. Because of the higher concentration of TSS, chemical reactions will also cause the release of pollutants like heavy metals, as well as nutrients like phosphorus into the stream that is receiving the water (Bilotta and Brazier, 2008; Haygarth et al., 2006). In addition, a higher concentration of TSS may have an effect on the biological characteristics of organisms, such as their rate of growth or population size (Shaw and Richardson, 2001). According to the findings of a large number of researchers, engineered wetlands have a significant amount of capacity for the mechanical removal of TSS. In most cases, the total suspended solids are reduced through the processes of physical settling and filtering (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008).

According to Manios et al. (2003), the physical and chemical structure of a gravel bed makes it more effective than any other substrate bed in removing total suspended particles. This finding was based on a comparison of gravel beds to other substrate beds (soil, sand, and other compost). Gravel is not as compact as the other substrate materials that have been described, which are compactable, and the pressure that is applied to these compactable substrates minimizes the considerable porosity that they have. The presence of plants significantly influences the reduction of TSS by increasing the amount of time that the water is retained (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008).

Electrical conductivity

The electrical conductivity (EC) of the wetland outflow can be used as a proxy for the charge (or the ion-carrying species) that exists there (Islam et al., 2011). The electrical conductivity value can be applied to the task of locating additional water quality problems. In a wetland filter,

the presence of a source of dissolved ions can be inferred from any unexpected rise in EC readings (Kumar and Chopra, 2012).

Total organic carbon

An increase in organic carbon decreases the nitrification rate, while denitrification is observed after an increase in organic carbon (Ding et al., 2012). Khehra et al., 2005 found that the presence of organic carbon is very important as a source to reduce textile dyes. Some dyes, such as Basic Red 46 and Acid Blue 113 (Hussein and Scholz, 2017), contain carbon as part of their chemical structure. This contributes to the ability of a dye to improve the capacity of constructed wetland to reduce nitrogen in high percentages. These results have been backed up by the research carried out by (Lavrova and Koumanova 2014).

Chemical parameters

The chemical parameters included chemical and bio-chemical oxygen demands, nitrogen, phosphate, and other chemical compounds.

Chemical and bio-chemical oxygen demands

The chemical oxygen demand (COD) is a crucial index that is used to measure the amount of organic pollution that is present in textile wastewater (Li et al., 2018). Biochemical oxygen demand, also known as BOD, refers to the amount of dissolved oxygen that aerobic biological organisms in a given wastewater sample require in order to decompose organic material. The presence of a high BOD in wastewater has the potential to reduce the amount of oxygen in receiving waters, which may result in the demise of certain organisms (Bhateria and Jain, 2016).

The accumulation of organic matter is an absolutely necessary component of man-made wetland areas. Microorganisms benefit from it in two ways: first, it supplies the energy necessary for their growth, and second, it supplies long-term carbon. Denitrification, or the reduction of nitrogen, is facilitated by the addition of carbon, as numerous researchers have demonstrated (Songliu et al., 2009). In contrast, the accumulation of organic matter is one of the most important contributing factors in the formation of clogging (Fu et al., 2013).

When attempting to determine the level of organic contamination that is present in textile wastewater, one of the most important

measurements that should be taken is the chemical oxygen demand. The amount of oxygen equivalent that must be present in order to oxidize the organic components of the textile wastewater is called the oxygen equivalent loading (Chai et al., 2006). Numerous researchers, such as Joseph et al. (2019), Almaamary et al. (2022), and Benny and Chakraborty (2023), have investigated the COD and BOD concentrations in the textile wastewater treated by subsurface flow CWs. The amount of organic matter in constructed wetlands can be decreased through the processes of adsorption, filtration, and aerobic metabolism, anaerobic metabolism, and microbial metabolism (Vymazal et al., 1998; Stefanakis et al., 2014).

Nitrogen compounds

Nitrogen, either organic or inorganic, can be dissolved in water and delivered to the wetland (Sumner, 1999). According to Vymazal and Kropfelová (2009), the origin of the effluent influences the proportional proportions of nitrogen that are present in the effluent. Nitrate, nitrite, ammonia and ammonium are the inorganic nitrogen compounds that are found in the greatest abundance (Likens, 2010). The most common types of organic nitrogen compounds are amines, urea, amino acids, and purine (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). Plant uptake and soil accumulation are the two primary methods for reducing nitrogen levels in the environment (Obarska and Gajewska, 2003). Microbial nitrification and denitrification are also important (Lee et al.; 2009). In the process of nitrification, ammonia or ammonium is first oxidized to nitrite, and then the nitrite is converted to nitrate (Kessel et al., 2015). The process of converting nitrate into nitrogen gas is referred to as denitrification (Schaechter, 2009). The wetland is fed dissolved organic and/or inorganic nitrogen, depending on the type of nitrogen (Sumner, 1999). The relative amounts of the nitrogen composition change depending on the source of the effluent, as stated by Vymazal and Kropfelová (2009). The inorganic nitrogen compounds that are most common include nitrite, nitrate, ammonium, and ammonia. The organic nitrogen compounds that are most common include amines, urea, amino acids, and purine (Likens, 2010). Several papers have reported additional strategies for reducing nitrogen in constructed wetlands, including nitrogen fixation, ammonification, ammonia adsorption, anammox, and ammonia volatilization (Mustafa, 2010).

On the other hand, the most important step in the process of removing nitrogen is a combination of nitrification and denitrification (Scholz, 2011).

It is necessary to have both aerobic and anaerobic conditions for the processes of nitrification and denitrification to take place. The conditions that are anaerobic are necessary for the conversion of ammonia and/or ammonium to nitrite during the nitrification process. On the other hand, aerobic conditions are necessary for the conversion of nitrite to nitrate (Kadlec and Knight, 1996; Kyambadde, 2005). This process requires a carbon source, which can be supplied by decaying plant detritus or the COD that is present in the textile wastewater that is being processed. Denitrification necessitates the presence of both aerobic and anaerobic conditions in order to convert the nitrogen-containing compounds (nitrite or nitrate) to nitrogen gas (Winkler et al., 2015). C stands for organic carbon, and N refers to inorganic nitrogen. The rate of nitrification decreases whenever there is an increase in the amount of organic carbon, whereas denitrification is discovered after there is an increase in the amount of organic carbon. Ding et al. (2012) provided additional evidence that supported these findings.

Changes in temperature influence the rate of nitrogen reduction. A number of studies demonstrate that the reduction rate declines throughout winter (Mietto et al., 2015). USEPA (2000) claimed that temperatures greater than 12.9 degrees Celsius are optimal for nitrification and denitrification. The temperature is a crucial consideration when planning CWs (Chapanova et al., 2007). According to Vymazal (2007), the influence of temperature on nitrification is stronger than on denitrification. Developing countries (especially when temperatures above 40 degrees Celsius) will have an effect on plant life, which is dependent on their growth cycle and requires consultation with ecologists. Songliu et al. (2009) demonstrated that the created wetland has a strong capacity to maintain an optimal pH for denitrification, and winter temperature may impact nitrate removal.

Phosphate compounds

Phosphorus, in both its organic and inorganic forms, can be found in CWs as organic and inorganic phosphate. Phosphorus can also be found in its organic form (Vymazal, 2007). The vast majority of phosphorus is converted into orthophosphate through the process of biological oxidation (Cooper et al., 1996). Vymazal (2007) demonstrated

that the removal mechanisms for phosphorus in CWs include desorption, leaching, fragmentation, microbial and plant absorption, dissolution, mineralization, adsorption, sedimentation, precipitation, burial, and precipitation. Additionally, in natural wetlands, the removal mechanisms for phosphorus include mineralization, adsorption, precipitation, burial, and sedimentation (Vymazal, 2007). Despite this, the processes that contribute the most significantly to reduction are precipitation, adsorption, as well as microbial and plant uptake (Cooper and Findlater, 2013). This points to the fact that the combination of biological, chemical, and physical treatments is likely to be the most successful strategy for phosphorus reduction (Mazumder, 2013). In a marsh, orthophosphate can quickly accumulate in the vegetation and the medium, leading to an increase in the natural uptake as well as the chemical bonding that occurs.

According to Kayombo et al. (2004), the way in which wetland ecosystems are designed to function is what determines whether or not they have the capacity to store or reduce phosphorus. Phosphorus may leave the wetland through the water body, if the anaerobic condition in the wetland, which is caused by a deficiency in oxygen demand, is not remedied. This condition is caused by a deficiency in the demand for oxygen (Reddy et al., 1999). The capacity of the filter media to reduce phosphorus is dependent on the content of metal of the textile wastewater (Vohla et al., 2011), as well as the pH value (Cui et al., 2008), via precipitation and adsorption mechanisms. This is due to the contaminants that the wastewater contains. The reactions that take place when the pH is greater than 6 are sorption onto iron and aluminum oxide as well as the precipitation of calcium phosphates. Both of these reactions require a pH greater than 6. When the pH level reaches 6, the iron and aluminum phosphate that precipitates becomes more significant (Priya and Urmila, 2013). These methods have the potential to cause blockage in CWs (Knowles et al., 2011), which is especially likely when the textile wastewater in question contains significant quantities of a number of different industrial contaminants (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008).

Heavy metals

Heavy metals are any of a variety of metallic elements that have a density that is significantly higher than that of water (Fergusson, 1990), and some examples of these metals include mercury (Hg), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), arsenic (As), and

thallium (Tl) (Martin, 2011). The vast majority of heavy metal ions are known to be carcinogenic or poisonous, they are not biodegradable, and they are accumulated by living beings, as stated by a number of sources (Barakat, 2011; Fu and Wang, 2011; Lakherwal, 2014). Heavy metals can cause a variety of adverse health effects in humans, including irritated skin, anemia, cirrhosis, and stomach cramps (Oyaro et al., 2007). As a consequence of this, removal of heavy metals is absolutely necessary.

In CWSs, heavy metals are removed from the environment through the physical processes of sedimentation, settling, and adsorption; the chemical processes of adsorption, sorption, precipitation, and co-precipitation and oxidation; metal carbonates; and metal sulfides; and the biological activities of microbial uptake and plant uptake (Sukumar, 2013; Arivoli et al., 2015). Because of the dynamic transformation that takes place in wetland systems regardless of whether or not the water is moving, the levels of heavy metals that are linked to particulate matter are greatly reduced during the settling and sedimentation processes (Ellis et al., 2003; Sheoran and Sheoran, 2006). It is possible for heavy metals to be transported from influent wastewater to the material of wetlands or bacteria (Matagi et al., 1998). According to Sheoran and Sheoran (2006), the primary method for removing heavy metals is the process of sedimentation.

The type of heavy metal, its concentration, and the conditions of the medium all play important roles in the adsorption process (Zeb et al., 2013). The vast majority of trace metals, such as lead, cadmium, nickel, zinc, and copper, are found in their cation forms. Their capacity to hold water is directly proportional to the type of wetland substrate present (Sheoran and Sheoran, 2006). Mengzhi et al. (2009) conducted the research to determine the effect that gravel and coke have on the rate at which heavy metals are reduced. The findings indicated that different levels of absorption efficiency existed. Sahu (2014) studied how lowering the retention time from one to eight days affected the amount of dissolved Cr, Ni, Fe, and Hg. The decrease rates increased along with the retention time increased.

The process of reducing heavy metals through the uptake of those metals by microbes and plants is known as a biological process, and it is the most important pathway for reducing heavy metals (Liu et al., 2015). The plant's type, the concentration of heavy metals, the pH of the sedimentation, the

temperature, the chemical characteristics of the sediment, and the amount of organic matter in the sediment all have an impact on the number of heavy metals that are removed from artificial wetlands as a result of plant uptake. According to Allende et al. (2014), the rate of reduction of heavy metals by plant uptake was found to be 3%, while the reduction rate by wetland media was found to be >85%. According to the findings of Sinicrope et al. (1992), *Scirpus lacustris* had a significant impact on the reduction of Zn and Cd. According to the findings, there was approximately a 13% decrease in the amount of Zn and a 35% decrease in the amount of Cd that was found in the fine root of the plant. According to the findings of Cooper and Findlater (2013), an increase in the concentration of heavy metals inhibits the development of plants. According to the findings of Li et al. (2015), a few acidic inflow, with a pH equal to 5.6-6.5, makes it easier for the plant to take in heavy metals, whereas an alkaline inflow, with a pH equal to 7.5-8.5, makes it more difficult for the plant to take in heavy metals.

CLASSIFICATION OF SUB-SURFACE CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS FOR TEXTILE WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Subsurface flow systems are characterized by the fact that the influent travels under the surface of the gravel or soil substrates. The purification process happens when the substance comes into contact with the substrate surfaces and plant roots, both of which are oxygen-limited and water-saturated. Because the litter layer and overlying vegetation acts as a thermal insulator under these conditions, the performance of the wetland does not significantly degrade over the course of the winter. Horizontal flow, vertical flow, and hybrid flow are the three types of flow (Vymazal, 2013).

Horizontal flow constructed wetlands (HFCWs)

The HFCWs are equipped with an inlet that allows for the discharge of wastewater from the textile industry. It makes its way slowly through the substrate that is located beneath of the bed surface, through the pores of the porous substrate, and through the plant roots until it reaches the outlet, at which point it is collected. The outlet is located at the bottom of the bed (Vymazal et al., 1998). It is not possible to see the flow at the

surface, and the level of the water is somewhere between 5 and 15 centimeters under the surface of the substrate (Vymazal et al., 2006). In addition, the breeding of mosquitoes is discouraged, which lowers the likelihood of disease transmission in human populations as well as in wildlife habitats (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). As a rule, gravel or a combination of gravel and sand is used as the substrate, and the depth of the layer can be anywhere from 30 to 80 centimeters deep. This medium is beneficial to the growth of plants and should be used (Vymazal et al., 2006). The depth of the plant roots will determine the depth of the substrate, and an impermeable geo-membrane will cover the

base of the bottom to prevent water from leaking through the base. The slope at the bottom, which ranges from 1% to 3%, is designed to encourage the flow of wastewater based on gravity (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). The wastewater coming from the textile industry is put through anoxic, aerobic, and anaerobic zones while it is being processed in this section, which is located around the rhizomes and roots of plants. These zones make it possible for oxygen to be released into the substrate, which results in the formation of aerobic zones (Cooper et al., 1997; Vymazal, 2014). HFCWs are extensively used not only in Europe but also in the United States of America (Vymazal et al., 2006). Table

Table 2. Relevant studies (in chronological order) on textile dye wastewater treatment by horizontal flow CWs

Textile used	Type of wet-land	Design characteristics	Plants used	Removal percentage rate	Period (d)	Country of operation	References
Real wastewater	HF	Soil	<i>Phragmites communis Trin.</i>	76% COD and 82% total Sulphur	N/A	Germany	Winter and Kickuth (1989)
Natural wastewater	HF	Gravel	<i>P. australis</i>	88% TSS	N/A	Australia	Davies and Cottingham (1994)
Many dyes in real wastewater	HF	Sand-gravel	<i>Cocoyam and Typha</i>	77% color, 72% COD, and 59% sulfate	84	Tanzania	Mbuligwe (2005)
Real wastewater	HF	Gravel	<i>P. australis</i>	50% TCr and 71% Hexavalent Cr	1460	Italy	Fibbi et al. (2011)
Reactive Red 2, Reactive Red 120, and Reactive Red 141	HF	Soil	<i>Echinodorus cordifolius L.</i>	97% dye, 42% TDS, 50% EC		Thailand	Noonpui and Thiravetyyan (2011)
Real wastewater	HF	Gravel	<i>P. australis</i>	72% hexavalent and 26% trivalent chromium	730	Italy	Fibbi et al. (2012)
Reactive black in synthetic wastewater	HF	Gravel-soil-rice husks	<i>Prescaria barbata</i>	79% color, 95% COD	60	Pakistan	Saba et al. (2014)
Acid orange 7	HF	Gravel-rice husks	<i>Typha latifolia</i>	100% dye	562	Malaysia	Tee et al. (2015)
Natural wastewater	HF	Gravel-sand	<i>Leptochloa fusca</i>	90% Color, 86% COD, 78% BOD, and 35% TDS	365	Pakistan	Hussain et al. (2018a)
Amaranth dye	HF	Gravel	<i>T. domingensis</i>	92% color, 56% COD, 92% NO ₃ , and 97% NH ₄	30	Tunisia	Haddaji et al. (2019)
Acid black 10B in synthetic wastewater	HF	Sedi-ment-Sand	<i>Eichhornia Crassipes-Hydrilla verticillata-Water thyme-Pistia stratiotes</i>	76% Color and 87% COD	90	India	Kumar et al. (2019)
Real wastewater	HF	Gravel-Sand	<i>P. australis+ Gambusia fish</i>	57% TSS, 74% COD, and 70% NO ₃ -N	6	Iran	Saharimoghaddam et al. (2019)
Real wastewater	HF-HRTS	Soil	<i>V. zizanioides, s. l. Aquatica and in Consortium</i>	76% color, 79% COD, 84% BOD, 83% TDS, and 51% TSS	5	India	Chandanshive et al. (2020)
Real wastewater	Ash filter-HF	Gravel-Soil	<i>Monochoria aginalis Echinodorus palae-folius</i>	99% TSS, 27%TDS, 74%COD, and 95%Cr	N/A	Indonesia	Daris et al. (2020)
Real wastewater	HF	Gravel-Sand	<i>Hymenocallis littoralis</i>	87% COD, 98% TSS, and 90% FOG	18	Indonesia	Rahmadyanti et al. (2020)
Real wastewater	HF-MFC	Gravel-Soil	<i>Fimbristylis ferruginea, Elymus repens, and Fimbristylis ferruginea</i>	97% color and 74% COD	120	India	Patel et al. (2021)
Reactive Red 22 in synthetic wastewater	HF	Gravel-Soil	<i>Typha</i>	73% color, 85% COD, and 34% Sulfate	96	India	Sethulekshmi and Chakraborty (2021)
Artificial mixed methylene blue and methyl orange	HF	Gravel-Sand	<i>Scirpus grossus</i>	79% Color, 62% COD, 70% BOD, and 78% TOC	72	Malaysia	Almaamary et al. (2022)

Note: COD, chemical oxygen demand; BOD, bio-chemical oxygen demand; FOG, oil and grease; TOC, total organic carbon; HRTS, high-rate transpiration system; TSS, total suspended solids; TDS, total dissolved solid; TN, total nitrogen; N, nitrogen; NH₄-N, ammonium nitrogen; PO₄-P, ortho-phosphate-phosphorus; N/A, not.

2 provides a summary of relevant previous studies on the reduction of textile wastewater. The survey is broken down into categories based on the dye that was used, the different kinds of wetlands and design characteristics that went into their creation, the plant that was utilized, the reduction performance, the amount of time that the experiment lasted, its location, and its reference.

Vertical flow constructed wetlands (VFCWs)

VFCWs are constructed with a structure that is made up of numerous layers of substrate (sand and gravel), and they are planted with macrophytes in a pattern that depth-grades from the surface to the depths of the structure (Vymazal et al., 2006). After being dosed on the top of the media surface, the wastewater from the textile industry is collected by an underdrain located at the bed of the substrate surface. The result of this is that the wastewater will flow in a direction that is orthogonal to the direction that the wetland extends in (Tousignant et al., 1999). Both the depth of the substrate (which can be anywhere from 45 centimeters to 120 centimeters) and the slope of the bottom (which can be anywhere from one percent to two percent) have an impact on the amount of effluent that is collected (Vymazal et al., 2006).

In VFCWs, wastewater is allowed to distribute over the surface of the CW substrate, creating an aerobic environment (Scholz, 2006) by displacing the air that was previously contained and drawing in the fresh air that is located at the base of the substrate (Stefanakis et al., 2014). The nitrification process and the decomposition of organic materials are both facilitated more efficiently as a result of this, as compared to a horizontal subsurface flow system (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). According to the research by Ye et al. (2012), the top layer of media receives around fifty percent of the atmospheric oxygenation that is present. In addition, a large number of studies have demonstrated that VFCWs can lessen the levels of pollutants in wastewater, such as BOD and COD, as well as suspended particles (Ong et al., 2010). Stefanakis and Tsihrintzis (2007) found that this type of operation does not help denitrification, and the removal of phosphorus is limited in comparison to the removal of other pollutants due to insufficient retention time between the substrate and the wastewater. Hussein and Scholz (2017) demonstrated that VFCWs with tidal loading were able to achieve a greater phosphorus reduction by treating

azo textile dye wastewater without modifying or supplementing the gravel medium that was used in their study. This was accomplished through the treatment of azo textile dye wastewater. In addition, several authorities assert that vertical-flow constructed wetland systems which operate on an intermittent basis are able to denitrify well with the addition of amendments (Song et al., 2015). When there is a high concentration of nitrate in the effluent, the wastewater is contaminated with only modest levels of organic chemistry, a wetland that has been developed with the vertical flow cannot provide enough organic carbon sources to reduce the nitrate (Songliu et al., 2009).

The majority of VFCWs are utilized throughout Europe, particularly in the countries of Austria, Denmark, United Kingdom, Germany, and France. However, they are also utilized in the United States, as indicated by Stefanakis and co-authors in their study (Kadlec and Wallace, 2008). (2014). This artificial wetland has been developed below the surface, and it is now being used by other countries in Asia and Africa (Gargi Sharma et al., 2014). VFCWs are able to be further categorized based on flow direction, saturation level, and saturation length (Stefanakis et al., 2014). These additional categories include VFCWs with intermittent loading (down-flow), recirculating VFCWs, saturated vertical (down-flow, up-flow), tidal flow, and integrated VFCWs. It is common practice to combine VFCWs with tidal loading, notably in European countries. The production of temporary wastewater ponding on the media surface of 3–5 centimeters in depth is the principal advantage brought about by this way of operation. This state is referred to as an anaerobic mode (Stefanakis et al., 2014), and the amount of time that the aerobic mode is present is referred to as the contact time or the retention time. Because of the pressure exerted by the wastewater, the entrapped air moves at a speed that is significantly slower than normal. The wastewater is forced by gravity to drain vertically through the porous media, where it is replaced by air from the surrounding atmosphere and the period span during which it is present is called the resting period. Bed aeration in this kind of operation encourages and accelerates the proliferation of microorganisms (Du et al., 2016), which improves the oxidation of organic materials nitrification process to prevent obstruction (Stefanakis et al., 2014). The decrease in the number of different azo dyes analyzed in the prior study is summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Relevant studies (in chronological order) on textile dye wastewater treatment by vertical flow CWs

Textile used	Type of wetland	Design characteristics	Plants used	Removal percentage rate	Period (d)	Country of operation	References
AB113, *RB171	VF	Gravel-sand	<i>P. australis</i>	98% color	70	USA	Pervez et al. (2000)
AO7	VF	Gravel-sandy clay soil	<i>P. australis</i>	74% dye, 71% TOC, and 64% COD	77	Portugal	Davies et al. (2005)
AO7	VF	Gravel-sandy clay soil	<i>P. australis</i>	99% color, 93% TOC, and COD	48	Portugal	Davies et al. (2006)
**RB5,VR13, RR22	VF	Gravel-Sand-Zeolite-Peat	Without plant	70% dye, 88% COD, 60% EC, and TOC	90	Slovenia	Ojstršek et al. (2007)
BB41	VF	Sand	<i>C. demersum</i> / <i>M. spicatum</i>	96 dye	50	Turkey	Keskinkan and Lugal Göksu (2007)
AO7	VF	Gravel	<i>P. australis</i>	68% dye, 67% TOC, and 69% COD	8	Portugal	Davies et al. (2009)
Methyl Red	UF and VF	Gravel	<i>P. australis</i>	Reasonably practical and competitive for decolorization	N/A	India	Goyal et al. (2009)
RR141	VF	Sand-Gravel	Typha	49% color, 86% TDS, and 60% COD	N/A	Thailand	Nilrat-nisakorn et al., (2009)
AO7	UF	Gravel- glass beads	<i>Manchurian wild rice</i> / <i>P. australis</i>	98% dye, 96% NH ₄ -N, 86% COD, 86% NO ₃ -N, 26% TP, and 67% TN	42	Japan	Ong et al. (2009)
AO7	UF	Gravel-glass beads	<i>P. australis</i>	98% dye, 67% TN, 90% COD, 28% TP, 100% NO ₃ -N, and 98% NH ₄ -N	365	Japan	Ong et al. (2010)
AO7	VF	Sludge-Gravel	<i>P. australis</i>	94% color, 95% COD, and 86% NH ₄ -N	27	N/A	Ong et al. (2011)
Methylene blue	VF	Glass wall-Gravel	<i>Canna indica</i>	93% dye and 75% COD	4	India	Yadav et al. (2012)
reactive brilliant red in synthetic wastewater	UF	Gravel	<i>Ipomoea Aquatica</i>	91% Color and 86% COD	N/A	Chine	Fang et al. (2013)
Natural wastewater	VF	Soil with- coconut shavings bacteria	<i>G. pulchella</i>	70% BOD, 74% TOC, and 70% COD	4	India	Kabra et al. (2013)
Natural wastewater	VF	Coconut shavings-soil-sand-gravel with bacteria	<i>Portulaca grandiflora</i>	59% COD, 37%TOC, 38% BOD, 41% turbidity, 60% TSS, and 71% TDS	3	India	Khandare et al. (2013)
Natural wastewater	VF	Soil-gravel	<i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	87% EC, 90% TDS, 83% Cl, 87% Sulphate, 79% Phenol, 91% BOD, and 93% COD	7	India	Sivakumar et al. 2013
DR81	VF	No Media	<i>P. australis</i>	96% color, 90% COD, and 90% TOC	123	Portugal	Ferreira et al., 2014
Real wastewater	VF	Gravel-soil-sand-Coconut shavings	<i>Typha</i>	79% COD, 59% TDS, 77% BOD, and 27% TSS	3	Pakistan	Shehzadi et al. (2014)
AY 2G E107	VF	Sand-gravel-zeolite	<i>Typha and Canna</i>	95% color, 60% COD, 77% NH ₄ -N, and 94% PO ₄ -P	90	Turkey	Yalcuk and Dogdu (2014)
Reactive brilliant red X-3B	UF	Gravel-activated carbon	<i>Ipomoea Aquatica</i>	95% Color and 86% COD	180	Chine	Fang et al. (2015)
Methyl Orange (MO) in synthetic wastewater	UF	Gravel-activated carbon	Without Plant	87% Color and 56% COD	60	Chine	Fang et al. (2016)
Real wastewater	VF	Eucalyptus leaves, sawdust, and fly ash	<i>T. angustifolia</i> , and <i>Paspalum Scrobiculatum</i>	76% color, 70% COD, 75% BOD, 75% TDS, 47% TSS, and (28-77)% arsenic, cadmium, and chromium	2	India	Chandanshive et al. (2017)

brilliant red X-3B in synthetic wastewater	UF	Gravel-screens-glass beads-biological ceramics	<i>Ipomoea aquatica</i>	93% Color and 61% COD		Chine	Fang et al. (2017)
BR46 And AB113	VF	Gravel-sand	<i>P. australis</i>	67% COD,	360	UK	Hussein and Scholz (2017)
Real wastewater	VF	Gravel-sand	<i>Brachiaria mutica</i>	74% Color, 81% COD, 72% BOD, 32% TDS, 84% N, 79% P, 97% Cr, 89% Fe, 88% Ni, and 72% Cd	365	Pakistan	Hussain et al. (2018b)
BR46 and AB113	VF	Gravel-sand	<i>P. australis</i>		360	UK	Hussein and Scholz (2018)
Acid Red 18 in Synthetic wastewater	UF-MFC	Glass-gravel	<i>T. latifolia</i>	97% Color and 95% COD	463	Malaysia	Oon et al. (2018)
Real wastewater	VF	Gravel-Sand	<i>Alternanthera sessilis Zea mays</i>	52% EC, 6% TS, 60% TDS, 83% TSS, 60% Cl, 81% BOD, 72% COD, 53% Th, and 68% T-Alkalinity	1	India	Joseph et al. (2019)
Real wastewater	VF-MFC	Gravel	<i>Fimbristylis dichotoma</i>	82% Color and 70% COD	4	India	Rathour et al. (2019)
Reactive Black 5	VF	Gravel with bacteria	<i>Juncus acutus</i>	50% dye	27	Morocco	Riva et al. (2019)
Congo Red in Synthetic wastewater	VF	Gravel-Sand	<i>P. australis and T. domingensis</i>	93% dye and 86% COD	210	Iraq	Bedah and Faisal (2020)
Real wastewater	VF	Eggshells-gravels-wood husk and activated charcoal	<i>Canna indica</i>	40% COD and 40% BOD	N/A	India	Jayabalan et al. (2020)
Real Wastewater	VF	Gravel-Sand	<i>Phragmites karka</i>	95% color and 88% COD	120	Indonesia	Kurniadie et al. (2020)
Acid Red 18, Acid Orange 7, and Congo Red in Synthetic wastewater	UF-MFC	Glass-Gravel	<i>Typha latifolia</i>	96% Color (AR18), 67% Color (AO7), 60% Color (Congo Red), and 74% COD	45	Malaysia	Oon et al. (2020)
Congo Red in Synthetic wastewater	VF	Gravel	<i>P. australis and T. domingensis</i>	98% Dye and 82% COD	5	Iraq	Faisal et al. (2022)
Methylene Blue dye in Synthetic wastewater	VF	Sand-Zeolite-Gravel	<i>Canna indica</i>	99% Color, 93% COD	30	India	Jayalakshmi et al. (2022)
Methyl orange dye in	VF-MFC	graphite granules	Seeds of (<i>C. arietinum, T. aestivum, and V. radiata</i>)	94% color and 94% COD	250	India	Mittal et al. (2022)
Real wastewater	VF	Sediment	<i>Water Hyacinth</i>	70% COD, 80% BOD, and 90% TDS	13	India	Shenoy et al. (2022)
methyl orange dye in Synthetic wastewater	VF-MFC	Sand-rice husk	<i>Canna indica</i>	97% color, 85% COD	60	India	Sonu et al. (2022)

Note: AB, acid blue; *RB, reactive blue; AO, acid orange; VF, vertical flow; MFC, microbial fuel cells; COD, chemical oxygen demand; BOD, bio-chemical oxygen demand; TOC, total organic carbon; **RB, reactive black; DY, disperse yellow; TSS, total suspended solids; TN, total nitrogen; N, nitrogen; P, phosphorus; Cr, chrome; Fe, iron; Ni, nickel; Cd, cadmium; Cl, chlorine; Th, thorium; NH₄-N, ammonium nitrogen; PO₄-P, ortho-phosphate-phosphorus; AY, acid yellow; N/A, not.

The survey is broken down into categories based on the dye that was used, the different kinds of wetlands and design characteristics that went into their creation, the plant that was utilized, the reduction performance, the amount of time that the experiment lasted, its location, and its references.

Hybrid flow constructed wetlands

In this type of CW systems, the benefits of different constructed wetland types (both of surface flow CWs and subsurface flow CWs) can be combined to complement one another (Vymazal, 2013), primarily VFCWs and HFCWs (Vymazal, 2013). This combination was created with the intention of maximizing the benefits of one type while mitigating the drawbacks of the other type. For instance, HFCWs, which have a restricted oxygen transfer

capacity, have a low nitrification process, whereas VFCWs, which have a larger oxygen transfer capacity, have a greater efficiency in this process due to their larger capacity. On the other hand, the denitrification process used in HFCWs is significantly more effective than that used in VFCWs. By utilizing a hybrid system that combines HFCWs and VFCWs, it is possible to generate the conditions that are conducive to the nitrification and denitrification processes (Vymazal, 2013). As reported by Stefanakis et al. (2014), Seidel was the first person to attempt to combine the various constructed wetlands in Germany in the 1960s; this laid the groundwork for the hybrid CWs, which were revitalized at the end of the 20th century.

The most common types of hybrid systems are stages of VFCWs filters followed by HFCWs filters in sequence and stages of HFCWs filters

Table 4. Relevant studies (in chronological order) on textile dye wastewater treatment by hybrid flow CWs

Textile used	Type of wetland	Design characteristics	Plants used	Removal percentage rate	Period (d)	Country of operation	References
*RB5, DY211, VY46	VF-HF	Gravel-sand-tuff	<i>P. australis</i>	90% color, 84% COD, 66% BOD, 93% TSS, 52% TN, -331% NH ₄ -N, 87% N _{organic} , 88% sulfate, and 80% anion surfactant	60	Slovenia	Bulc and Ojstršek (2008)
Dye in Synthetic wastewater	1. FWS-VF 2. VF-FWS	shale	<i>P. australis</i>	1. 97% color and 98% COD 2. 99% color and 90% COD	n/a	Thailand	Cumnan and Yimratantabovorn (2012)
Real wastewater	VF-HF	(1): Sugar-cane Bagasse (2): Sand	<i>P. australis</i> , <i>D. sanderiana</i> , and <i>A. platyneuron</i>	(1): 90% Turbidity, 81% NH ₄ -N, 87% NO ₂ -N, 72% NO ₃ -N, 89% COD, 95% BOD and 63% SS (2): 83% Turbidity, 70% NH ₄ -N, 87% NO ₂ -N, 77% NO ₃ -N, 89% COD, 97% BOD and 38% SS	105	Bangladesh	Saeed and Sun (2013)
Real bleaching	HF-VF	No	<i>P. australis</i>	89% COD, 91% BOD, and 96% TOC	N/A	Pakistan	Hussain et al. (2019)
Acid Red 27	VF-HF	Gravel	<i>P. australis</i>	100% color, 88% COD, and 98% NH ₄ -N	241	Malaysia	Lehl et al. (2019)
Real wastewater	HF-FWS	Gravel	<i>P. australis</i> , <i>T. Latifolia</i> , and <i>Miriophyllum sp.</i>	46% TCOD, 33% SCOD, 73% TSS, 50% TP, 32% TN, 33% TKN, 37% N-NO ₃	323	Italy	Masi et al. (2019)
Real wastewater	HF-VF	Gravel-Sand	<i>Canna indica</i>	99% TSS, 90% COD, and 90% FOG	7	Indonesia	Rahmadyanti and Audina (2020)
Reactive Yellow 145 in Synthetic wastewater	HF-VF	Cow manure- wood chips- gravel	<i>Typha angustifolia</i> .	90% color, 37% COD, 69% NH ₄ -N, and 39% organic-nitrogen	198	India	Benny and Chakraborty (2023)

Note: AB, acid blue; COD, chemical oxygen demand; TCOD, total COD; SCOD, soluble COD; TOC, total organic carbon; *RB, reactive black; DY, disperse yellow; VY, vat yellow; HV, horizontal flow; TSS, total suspended solids; TP, total phosphorus; TN, total nitrogen; TKN, total kjeldahl nitrogen; N, nitrogen; NH₄-N, ammonium nitrogen; PO₄-P, ortho-phosphate-phosphorus; FOG, oil and grease.

followed by VFCWs filters (Vymazal, 2013). The first combination includes VFCWs units, which work to reduce the amount of organic matter and suspended particles in the water in order to boost nitrification. VFCWs units are then followed by HFCWs units, which offer an alternative method for reducing organic matter and suspended solids as well as fostering favorable circumstances for denitrification. The second combination consists of HFCWs units, which are responsible for the reduction of organic matter and suspended solids, as well as the creation of the conditions that are conducive to denitrification. These are followed by VFCWs units, which are responsible for nitrification as well as the further reduction of organic matter and suspended solids. If there is an increase in the content of nitrate in the textile wastewater effluent after VFCWs due to oxidate ammonia nitrogen, the outflow must be fed back as an inflow in HFCWs or to another HFCWs unit depending on the results of the outflow analysis. This is necessary if the effluent contains an excess of nitrate. Table 4 provides a summary of the reduction of a number of different types of azo dyes. The survey is broken down into various categories according to the dye that was used, the different kinds of wetlands and their design characteristics, the plant that was used, the reduction performance, the amount of time that the experiment lasted, its location, and its references.

CONCLUSION

The textile industry is one of the larger consumers of potable water and, consequently, produces a huge amount of wastewater. It is one of the most critical and largest industrial sectors in many countries. Constructed wetland systems are utilized frequently due to their qualities, which include low energy, convenience, mechanical simplicity requirements, environmental friendliness, and low operating costs. Subsurface flow constructed wetland is one type of constructed wetland utilized extensively to treat textile industry effluents and wastewater. This article summarized and reported all applied research that dealt with the efficiency of such types of subsurface flow CWs (horizontal flow, vertical flow and hybrid flow) which are used to treat textile wastewater. Furthermore, the article reported the applied research which included integrated Microbial Fuel Cell (MFC) with these types of constructed wetlands.

REFERENCES

- Allende, K. L., McCarthy, D. & Fletcher, T. 2014. The influence of media type on removal of arsenic, iron and boron from acidic wastewater in horizontal flow wetland microcosms planted with *Phragmites australis*. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 246, 217–228.
- Almaamary, E.A.S., Abdullah, S.R.S., Ismail, N.I., Idris, M., Kurniawan, S.B. and Imron, M.F. 2022. Comparative performance of *Scirpus grossus* for phytotreating mixed dye wastewater in batch and continuous pilot subsurface constructed wetland systems. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 307, 14534.
- Arivoli, A., Mohanraj, R. & Seenivasan, R. 2015. Application of vertical flow constructed wetland in treatment of heavy metals from pulp and paper industry wastewater. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22(17), 13336–13343.
- Barakat, M. 2011. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 4(4), 361–377.
- Bedah, B.J. and Faisal, A.A.H. 2020. Use of vertical subsurface flow constructed wetland for reclamation of wastewater contaminated with Congo red dye. *Plant Arch*, 20, 8784–8792.
- Benny, C.K. and Chakraborty, S. 2023. Dyeing wastewater treatment in horizontal-vertical constructed wetland using organic waste media. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 331, 117213.
- Bhateria, R. and Jain, D. 2016. Water quality assessment of lake water: a review. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, 2(2), 161–173.
- Bidu, J.M., Van der Bruggen, B., Rwiza, M.J. and Njau, K.N. 2021. Current status of textile wastewater management practices and effluent characteristics in Tanzania. *Water Science and Technology*, 83(10), 2363–2376.
- Bilotta, G.S. and Brazier, R.E. 2008. Understanding the influence of suspended solids on water quality and aquatic biota. *Water research*, 42(12), 2849–2861.
- Brix, H. 1994. Functions of macrophytes in constructed wetlands. *Water Science and Technology*, 29(4), 71–78.
- Brix, H. 2003. Plants used in constructed wetlands and their functions. 1st International Seminar on the use of Aquatic Macrophytes for Wastewater Treatment in Constructed Wetlands, edit. Dias V., Vymazal J. Lisboa, Portugal, 81–109.
- Bulc, T.G. and Ojstršek, A. 2008. The use of constructed wetland for dye-rich textile wastewater treatment. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 155(1–2), 76–82.
- Chai, Y., Ding, H., Zhang, Z., Xian, Y., Pan, Z. &

- Jin, L. 2006. Study on photocatalytic oxidation for determination of the low chemical oxygen demand using a nano-TiO₂-Ce(SO₄)₂ coexisted system. *Talanta*, 68(3), 610–615.
14. Chandanshive, V.V., Rane, N.R., Tamboli, A.S., Gholave, A.R., Khandare, R.V. and Govindwar, S.P. 2017. Co-plantation of aquatic macrophytes *Typha angustifolia* and *Paspalum scrobiculatum* for effective treatment of textile industry effluent. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 338, 47–56.
 15. Chandanshive, V.V., Kadam, S.K., Khandare, R.V., Kurade, M.B., Jeon, B.H., Jadhav, JP and Govindwar, S.P. 2018. In situ phytoremediation of dyes from textile wastewater using garden ornamental plants, effect on soil quality and plant growth. *Chemosphere*, 210, 968–976.
 16. Chapanova, G., Jank, M., Schlegel, S. & Koeser, H. 2007. Effect of temperature and salinity on the wastewater treatment performance of aerobic submerged fixed bed biofilm reactors. *Water science and technology*, 55(8–9), 159–164.
 17. Chandanshive, V., Kadam, S., Rane, N., Jeon, B.H., Jadhav, J. and Govindwar, S. 2020. In situ textile wastewater treatment in high rate transpiration system furrows planted with aquatic macrophytes and floating phytobeds. *Chemosphere*, 252, 126513.
 18. Cooper, P.F., Job, G.D., Green, M.B. and Shutes, R.B.E. 1997. Reed beds and constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. *European water pollution control*, 6(7), 49.
 19. Cooper, P.F. and Findlater, B.C. eds. 2013. *Constructed Wetlands in Water Pollution Control: Proceedings of the International Conference on the Use of Constructed Wetlands in Water Pollution Control*, Held in Cambridge, UK, 24–28 September 1990. Elsevier.
 20. Costa, M.C., Mota, F.S.B., Santos, A.B.D., Mendonça, G.L.F. and Nascimento, R.F.D. 2012. Effect of dye structure and redox mediators on anaerobic azo and anthraquinone dye reduction. *Quimica Nova*, 35, 482–486.
 21. Cui, L., Zhu, X., Ma, M., Ouyang, Y., Dong, M., Zhu, W. and Luo, S. 2008. Phosphorus sorption capacities and physicochemical properties of nine substrate materials for constructed wetland. *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 55, 210–217.
 22. Cumnan, S. and Yimrattanabovorn, J. 2012. The use of constructed wetland for azo dye textile wastewater. *Int J Civ Eng Build Mat*, 2(4), 150–158.
 23. Daris, P.J.J., Sutanto, H.B. and Prihatmo, G. 2020. Pengolahan Limbah Tekstil dengan Metode Hibrid Menggunakan Sistem Filtrasi Bottom Ash dan Constructed Wetland. *SAINTEK: Jurnal Ilmiah Sains dan Teknologi Industri*, 4(2), 77–81.
 24. Davies, L.C., Carias, C.C., Novais, J.M. and Martins-Dias, S. 2005. Phytoremediation of textile effluents containing azo dye by using *Phragmites australis* in a vertical flow intermittent feeding constructed wetland. *Ecological Engineering*, 25(5), 594–605.
 25. Davies, L.C., Pedro, I.S., Novais, J.M. and Martins-Dias, S. 2006. Aerobic degradation of Acid orange 7 in a vertical-flow constructed wetland. *Water research*, 40(10), 2055–2063.
 26. Davies, L.C., Cabrita, G.J.M., Ferreira, R.A., Carias, C.C., Novais, J.M. and Martins-Dias, S. 2009. Integrated study of the role of *Phragmites australis* in azo-dye treatment in a constructed wetland: from pilot to molecular scale. *Ecological Engineering*, 35(6), 961–970.
 27. Davies, T.H. and Cottingham, P.D. 1994. The use of constructed wetlands for treating industrial effluent (textile dyes). *Water Science and Technology*, 29(4), 227–232.
 28. Ding, Y., Song, X., Wang, Y. & Yan, D. 2012. Effects of dissolved oxygen and influent COD/N ratios on nitrogen removal in horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland. *Ecological Engineering*, 46, 107–111.
 29. Dos Santos, A.B., Cervantes, F.J. and Van Lier, J.B. 2007. Review paper on current technologies for decolourisation of textile wastewaters: perspectives for anaerobic biotechnology. *Bioresource technology*, 98(12), 2369–2385.
 30. Du, X., Shi, C. and Ma, F. 2016. Influence of intermittent aeration and organic loading rate on lab-scale constructed wetland systems treating synthetic wastewater. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57(21), 9651–9659.
 31. Ellis, J., Shutes, R. & Revitt, D. 2003. *Constructed Wetlands and Links with Sustainable Drainage Systems*. Environment Agency, Bristol, Environmental agency.
 32. Faisal, A.A., Bedah, B.J.B.B.J. and Al-Hashimi, O. 2022. Constructed Wetland Units Filled with Waterworks Sludge for Remediating of Wastewater Contaminated with Congo Red Dye. *Iraqi Journal of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering*, 23(2), 9–17.
 33. Fang, Z., Song, H.L., Cang, N. and Li, X.N. 2013. Performance of microbial fuel cell coupled constructed wetland system for decolorization of azo dye and bioelectricity generation. *Bioresource technology*, 144, 165–171.
 34. Fang, Z., Song, H.L., Cang, N. and Li, X.N. 2015. Electricity production from Azo dye wastewater using a microbial fuel cell coupled constructed wetland operating under different operating conditions. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 68, 135–141.
 35. Fang, Z., Song, H., Yu, R. and Li, X. 2016. A microbial fuel cell-coupled constructed wetland promotes degradation of azo dye decolorization products. *Ecological Engineering*, 94, 455–463.

36. Fang, Z., Cheng, S., Wang, H., Cao, X. and Li, X. 2017. Feasibility study of simultaneous azo dye decolorization and bioelectricity generation by microbial fuel cell-coupled constructed wetland: substrate effects. *RSC advances*, 7(27), 16542–16552.
37. Fergusson, J. E. 1990. Heavy elements: chemistry, environmental impact and health effects, Oxford, Pergamon Press.
38. Ferreira, R.A., Duarte, J.G., Vergine, P., Antunes, C.D., Freire, F. and Martins-Dias, S. 2014. *Phragmites* sp. physiological changes in a constructed wetland treating an effluent contaminated with a diazo dye (DR81). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(16), 9626–9643.
39. Fibbi, D., Doumet, S., Colzi, I., Coppini, E., Pucci, S., Gonnelli, C., Lepri, L. and Del Bubba, M. 2011. Total and hexavalent chromium removal in a subsurface horizontal flow (h-SSF) constructed wetland operating as post-treatment of textile wastewater for water reuse. *Water Science and Technology*, 64(4), 826–831.
40. Fibbi, D., Doumet, S., Lepri, L., Checchini, L., Gonnelli, C., Coppini, E. and Del Bubba, M. 2012. Distribution and mass balance of hexavalent and trivalent chromium in a subsurface, horizontal flow (SF-h) constructed wetland operating as post-treatment of textile wastewater for water reuse. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 199, 209–216.
41. Foladori, P., Ortigara, A.R.C., Ruaben, J. and Andreottola, G. 2012. Influence of high organic loads during the summer period on the performance of hybrid constructed wetlands (VSSF+ HSSF) treating domestic wastewater in the Alps region. *Water Science and Technology*, 65(5), 890–897.
42. Fu, F. & Wang, Q. 2011. Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: a review. *Journal of environmental management*, 92(3), 407–418.
43. Fu, G., Zhang, J., Chen, W. and Chen, Z. 2013. Medium clogging and the dynamics of organic matter accumulation in constructed wetlands. *Ecological engineering*, 60, 393–398
44. Goyal, S., Sharma, G. and Bhardwaj, K.K. 2009. Decolorization of synthetic dye (Methyl Red) wastewater using constructed wetlands having upflow and downflow loading formate. *Rasayan J Chem*, 2(2), 329–331.
45. Haddaji, D., Ghrabi-Gammar, Z., Hamed, K.B. and Bousselmi, L. 2019. A recirculating horizontal flow constructed wetland for the treatment of synthetic azo dye at high concentrations. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(13), 13489–13501.
46. Håkanson, L. 2006. The relationship between salinity, suspended particulate matter and water clarity in aquatic systems. *Ecological research*, 21(1), 75–90.
47. Haygarth, P.M., Bilotta, G.S., Bol, R., Brazier, R.E., Butler, P.J., Freer, J., Gimbert, L.J., Granger, S.J., Krueger, T., Macleod, C.J.A. and Naden, P. 2006. Processes affecting transfer of sediment and colloids, with associated phosphorus, from intensively farmed grasslands: an overview of key issues. *Hydrological Processes*, 20(20), 4407–4413.
48. Hossain, L., Sarker, S.K. and Khan, M.S. 2018. Evaluation of present and future wastewater impacts of textile dyeing industries in Bangladesh. *Environmental Development*, 26, 23–33.
49. Holkar, C.R., Jadhav, A.J., Pinjari, D.V., Mahamuni, N.M. and Pandit, A.B. 2016. A critical review on textile wastewater treatments: possible approaches. *Journal of environmental management*, 182, 351–366.
50. Hussain, Z., Arslan, M., Malik, M.H., Mohsin, M., Iqbal, S. and Afzal, M. 2018a. Integrated perspectives on the use of bacterial endophytes in horizontal flow constructed wetlands for the treatment of liquid textile effluent: phytoremediation advances in the field. *Journal of environmental management*, 224, 387–395.
51. Hussain, Z., Arslan, M., Malik, M.H., Mohsin, M., Iqbal, S. and Afzal, M. 2018b. Treatment of the textile industry effluent in a pilot-scale vertical flow constructed wetland system augmented with bacterial endophytes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 645, 966–973.
52. Hussain, Z., Arslan, M., Shabir, G., Malik, M.H., Mohsin, M., Iqbal, S. and Afzal, M. 2019. Remediation of textile bleaching effluent by bacterial augmented horizontal flow and vertical flow constructed wetlands: a comparison at pilot scale. *Science of the Total Environment*, 685, 370–379.
53. Hussein, A. and Scholz, M. 2018. Treatment of artificial wastewater containing two azo textile dyes by vertical-flow constructed wetlands. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25(7), 6870–6889.
54. Hussein, A. and Scholz, M. 2017. Dye wastewater treatment by vertical-flow constructed wetlands. *Ecological Engineering*, 101, 28–38.
55. Hussein, A.M.F. 2017. *Azo Textile Dyes Wastewater Treatment with Constructed Wetlands Design and Operation of Experimental Vertical-Flow Constructed Wetlands Applied for the Treatment of Azo Textile Dyes (With/Without Artificial Wastewater)*. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Salford (United Kingdom).
56. Islam, M.M., Mahmud, K., Faruk, O. and Billah, M.S. 2011. Textile dyeing industries in Bangladesh for sustainable development. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*, 2(6), p.428.
57. Jayabalan, J.B., Amirthalingam, S., Sekar, S., Santhanam, N.K. and Manoharan, S. 2020, May. Treatment of textile effluent using sub surface flow constructed wetlands. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2240, No. 1, p. 130001). AIP Publishing LLC.

58. Jayalakshmi, R., Soundaranayaki, K. and Kannan, M.S. 2022. Removal of Methylene Blue dye from textile wastewater using vertical flow constructed wetland. *Materials Today: Proceedings*.
59. Jin, X.C., Liu, G.Q., Xu, Z.H. and Tao, W.Y. 2007. Decolorization of a dye industry effluent by *Aspergillus fumigatus* XC6. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 74(1), 239–243.
60. Joseph S., J., Ramasamy, V., and Kandasamy, K. 2019. Treatment of textile wastewater using vertical flow constructed wetland with planted *alternanthera sessilis* and *zea mays*. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 7, 731–741.
61. Kabra, A.N., Khandare, R.V. and Govindwar, S.P. 2013. Development of a bioreactor for remediation of textile effluent and dye mixture: a plant–bacterial synergistic strategy. *Water Research*, 47(3), 1035–1048.
62. Kadlec, R. H. & Knight, R. L. 1996. *Treatment Wetlands*, Florida, CRC Press.
63. Kadlec, R.H. and Wallace, S. 2008. *Treatment wetlands*. CRC press.
64. Kayombo, S.T.S.A., Mbwette, T.S.A., Katima, J.H.Y., Ladegaard, N. and Jørgensen, S.E. 2005. *Waste stabilization ponds and constructed wetlands design manual*. UNEP-IETC with the Danish International Development Agency (Danida), 1–59.
65. Keskinan, O. & Lugal Göksu, M. 2007. Assessment of the dye removal capability of submersed aquatic plants in a laboratory-scale wetland system using anova. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 24(2), 193–202.
66. Khandare, R.V., Kabra, A.N., Kadam, A.A. and Govindwar, S.P. 2013. Treatment of dye containing wastewaters by a developed lab scale phytoreactor and enhancement of its efficacy by bacterial augmentation. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 78, 89–97.
67. Khehra, M.S., Saini, H.S., Sharma, D.K., Chadha, B.S. and Chimni, S.S. 2005. Decolorization of various azo dyes by bacterial consortium. *Dyes and pigments*, 67(1), 55–61.
68. Kirandeep, K., Arvinder, K. and Rajvir, K. 2015. Cytogenotoxicity of Azo dye acid blue-113 (ab-113) to *Channa punctatus* (Bloch). *Journal of Environmental Research and Development*, 9(3), p.547.
69. Knowles, P., Dotro, G., Nivala, J. and García, J. 2011. Clogging in subsurface-flow treatment wetlands: occurrence and contributing factors. *Ecological engineering*, 37(2), 99–112.
70. Kyambadde, J. 2005. *Optimizing processes for biological nitrogen removal in Nakivubo wetland*, Uganda. *AlbaNova univercity centre*.
71. Kumar, V. and Chopra, A.K. 2012. *Monitoring of Physico-chemical and Microbiological Characteristics of Municipal Wastewater at Treatment Plant, Haridwar City(Uttarakhand) India*. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 5(2), 109–118.
72. Kumar Yeruva, D., Ranadheer, P., Kiran Kumar, A. and Venkata Mohan, S. 2019. Tri-phasic engineered wetland system for effective treatment of azo dye-based wastewater. *npj Clean Water*, 2(1), 1–8.
73. Kurniadie, D., Wijaya, D., Widayat, D., and Umiyati, U. 2020. Constructed wetlands to treat textile industry wastewater using phragmites karka. *Pollution Research*, 39(3), 600–605
74. Lakherwal, D. 2014. Adsorption of heavy metals: a review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Development*, 4(1), 41–48.
75. Lavrova, S. and Koumanova, B. 2013. Nutrients and organic matter removal in a vertical-flow constructed wetland. *Applied Bioremediation-Active and Passive Approaches*, 69–99.
76. Lee, C. G., Fletcher, T. D. & Sun, G. 2009. Nitrogen removal in constructed wetland systems. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 9(1), 11–22.
77. Lee, D.J., Kang, S.W., Park, J.H., Kim, S.H., Choi, I.W., Hwang, T.H., Lim, B.J., Jung, S.J., Park, H.N., Cho, J.S. and Seo, D.C. 2015. Enhancement of nutrient removal in a hybrid constructed wetland utilizing an electric fan air blower with renewable energy of solar and wind power. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2015.
78. Lehl, H.K., Ong, S.A., Ho, L.N., Wong, Y.S., Naemah, F., Oon, YL, Oon, YS and Thung, WE, 2019. Decolourization and mineralization of acid red 27 metabolites by using multiple zoned aerobic and anaerobic constructed wetland reactor. *Desalin. Water Treat*, 160, 81–93.
79. Li, J., Luo, G., He, L., Xu, J. and Lyu, J. 2018. Analytical approaches for determining chemical oxygen demand in water bodies: a review. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry*, 48(1), 47–65.
80. Liu, J., Zhang, X.-H., You, S.-H., Wu, Q.-X. & Zhou, K.-N. 2015. Function of *Leersia hexandra* Swartz in constructed wetlands for Cr(VI) decontamination: a comparative study of planted and unplanted mesocosms. *Ecological Engineering*, 81, 70–75.
81. Likens, G.E. ed. 2010. *Biogeochemistry of inland waters*. Academic press.
82. Manios, T., Stentiford, E. & Millner, P. 2003. Removal of total suspended solids from wastewater in constructed horizontal flow subsurface wetlands. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A*, 38(6), 1073–1085.
83. Matagi, S., Swai, D. & Mugabe, R. 1998. A review of heavy metal removal mechanisms in wetlands. *Afr. J. Trop. Hydrobiol. Fish.*, 8(1), 13–25.
84. Martin, M. 2011. *Our Toxic World A guide to hazardous substances in our everyday lives*. *Journal of*

- Resources, Energy and Development, 8(2), 189–190.
85. Masi, F., Rizzo, A., Bresciani, R., Martinuzzi, N., Wallace, S.D., Van Oirschot, D., Macor, F., Rossini, T., Fornaroli, R. and Mezzanotte, V. 2019. Lessons learnt from a pilot study on residual dye removal by an aerated treatment wetland. *Science of the Total Environment*, 648, 144–152.
86. Mazumder, D. 2013. Scope of BOD, nitrogen and phosphorous removal through plant-soil interaction in the wetland. *International Journal of Environmental and Ecological Engineering*, 7(2), 104–113.
87. Mbuligwe, S.E. 2005. Comparative treatment of dye-rich wastewater in engineered wetland systems (EWSs) vegetated with different plants. *Water Research*, 39(2–3), 271–280.
88. Meng, P., Pei, H., Hu, W., Shao, Y. & Li, Z. 2014. How to increase microbial degradation in constructed wetlands: influencing factors and improvement measures. *Bioresource technology*, 157, 316–326.
89. Mengzhi, C., Yingying, T., Xianpo, L. & Zhaoxiang, Y. 2009. Study on the heavy metals removal efficiencies of constructed wetlands with different substrates. *Journal of water Resource and Protection*, 1, 22–28.
90. Mietto, A., Politeo, M., Breschigliaro, S. & Borin, M. 2015. Temperature influence on nitrogen removal in a hybrid constructed wetland system in Northern Italy. *Ecological Engineering*, 75, 291–302.
91. Mittal, Y., Dash, S., Srivastava, P., Mishra, P.M., Aminabhavi, T.M. and Yadav, A.K. 2022. Azo dye containing wastewater treatment in earthen membrane based unplanted two chambered constructed wetlands-microbial fuel cells: A new design for enhanced performance. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 427, 131856.
92. Mustafa, A. 2010. Nutrient removal with integrated constructed wetlands: microbial ecology and treatment performance evaluation of full-scale integrated constructed wetlands. doctoral dissertation, Edinburgh, UK.
93. Nawab, B., Esser, K.B., Jenssen, P.D., Nyborg, I.L. and Baig, S.A. 2018. Technical viability of constructed wetland for treatment of dye wastewater in Gadoon Industrial Estate, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Wetlands*, 38(6), 1097–1105.
94. Nawaz, N., Ali, S., Shabir, G., Rizwan, M., Shaqoor, M.B., Shahid, M.J., Afzal, M., Arslan, M., Hashem, A., Abd_Allah, E.F. and Alyemeni, M.N. 2020. Bacterial augmented floating treatment wetlands for efficient treatment of synthetic textile dye wastewater. *Sustainability*, 12(9), p.3731.
95. Nilratnisakorn, S., Thiravetyan, P. and Nakbanpote, W. 2009. A constructed wetland model for synthetic reactive dye wastewater treatment by narrow-leaved cattails (*Typha angustifolia* Linn.). *Water Science and Technology*, 60(6), 1565–1574.
96. Noonpui, S. and Thiravetyan, P. 2011. Treatment of reactive azo dye from textile wastewater by burhead (*Echinodorus cordifolius* L.) in constructed wetland: effect of molecular size. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A*, 46(7), 709–714.
97. O’neill, C., Lopez, A., Esteves, S., Hawkes, F.R., Hawkes, D.L. and Wilcox, S. 2000. Azo-dye degradation in an anaerobic-aerobic treatment system operating on simulated textile effluent. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 53(2), 249–254.
98. Ojstršek, A., Fakin, D. and Vrhovšek, D. 2007. Residual dye bath purification using a system of constructed wetland. *Dyes and pigments*, 74(3), 503–507.
99. Ong, S.A., Uchiyama, K., Inadama, D. and Yamagiwa, K. 2009. Simultaneous removal of color, organic compounds and nutrients in azo dye-containing wastewater using up-flow constructed wetland. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 165(1–3), 696–703.
100. Ong, S.A., Uchiyama, K., Inadama, D., Ishida, Y. and Yamagiwa, K. 2010. Treatment of azo dye Acid Orange 7 containing wastewater using up-flow constructed wetland with and without supplementary aeration. *Bioresource technology*, 101(23), 9049–9057.
101. Ong, S.A., Ho, L.N., Wong, Y.S., Dugil, D.L. and Samad, H.A.F.I.Z.A.H. 2011. Semi-batch operated constructed wetlands planted with *Phragmites australis* for treatment of dyeing wastewater. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 6(5), 623–631.
102. Oon, Y.L., Ong, S.A., Ho, L.N., Wong, Y.S., Dahalan, F.A., Oon, Y.S., Lehl, H.K., Thung, W.E. and Nordin, N. 2018. Up-flow constructed wetland-microbial fuel cell for azo dye, saline, nitrate remediation and bioelectricity generation: From waste to energy approach. *Bioresource technology*, 266, 97–108.
103. Oon, Y.L., Ong, S.A., Ho, L.N., Wong, Y.S., Dahalan, F.A., Oon, Y.S., Teoh, T.P., Lehl, H.K. and Thung, W.E. 2020. Constructed wetland-microbial fuel cell for azo dyes degradation and energy recovery: Influence of molecular structure, kinetics, mechanisms and degradation pathways. *Science of The Total Environment*, 720, 137370.
104. Oyaro, N., Juddy, O., Murago, E. N. & Gitonga, E. 2007. The contents of Pb, Cu, Zn and Cd in meat in Nairobi, Kenya. *International journal of food, agriculture and environment*, 5(3–4), 119–121.
105. Patel, D., Bapodra, S.L., Madamwar, D. and Desai, C. 2021. Electroactive bacterial community augmentation enhances the performance of a pilot scale constructed wetland microbial fuel cell for treatment of textile dye wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 332, 125088.

106. Pervez, A., Headley, A.D. and Terzis, E. 1999, November. The treatment of azo dyes using reedbed treatment systems. In *Wetlands & Remediation: An International Conference* 187–194.
107. Pieleesz, A., Baranowska, I., Rybak, A. & Włochowicz, A. 2002. Detection and determination of aromatic amines as products of reductive splitting from selected azo dyes. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 53(1), 42–47.
108. Postolache, O.A., Girao, P.S., Pereira, J.D. and Ramos, H.M.G. 2007. Multibeam optical system and neural processing for turbidity measurement. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 7(5), 677–684.
109. Priya, S.G. and Brighu, U. 2013. Comparison of different types of media for nutrient removal efficiency in vertical upflow constructed wetlands. *International Journal of Environmental Engineering and Management*, 4(5).
110. Rahmadyanti, E. and Audina, O. 2020. The performance of hybrid constructed wetland system for treating the batik wastewater. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 21(3).
111. Rahmadyanti, E., Wiyono, A. and Firmansyah, G.A. 2020. Integrated system of biofilter and constructed wetland for sustainable batik industry. *GEOMATE Journal*, 18(70), 138–148.
112. Rathour, R., Patel, D., Shaikh, S. and Desai, C. 2019. Eco-electrogenic treatment of dyestuff wastewater using constructed wetland-microbial fuel cell system with an evaluation of electrode-enriched microbial community structures. *Biore-source technology*, 285, 121349.
113. Reddy, K.R., Kadlec, R.H., Flaig, E. and Gale, P.M. 1999. Phosphorus retention in streams and wetlands: a review. *Critical reviews in environmental science and technology*, 29(1), 83–146.
114. Riva, V., Mapelli, F., Syranidou, E., Crotti, E., Choukrallah, R., Kalogerakis, N. and Borin, S. 2019. Root bacteria recruited by *Phragmites australis* in constructed wetlands have the potential to enhance azo-dye phytodepuration. *Microorganisms*, 7(10), 384.
115. Ruddon, R.W. 2007. *Cancer biology*. Oxford University Press.
116. Saba, B., Jabeen, M., Mahmood, T. and Aziz, I. 2014. Treatment comparison efficiency of microbial amended agro-waste biochar constructed wetlands for reactive black textile dye. *International Proceedings of Chemical, Biological and Environmental Engineering*, 65, 13–16.
117. Saeed, T. & Sun, G. 2012. A review on nitrogen and organics removal mechanisms in subsurface flow constructed wetlands: dependency on environmental parameters, operating conditions and supporting media. *Journal of environmental management*, 112, 429–448.
118. Saeed, T. and Sun, G. 2013. A lab-scale study of constructed wetlands with sugarcane bagasse and sand medium for the treatment of textile wastewater. *Biore-source technology*, 128, 438–447.
119. Saharimoghaddam, N., Massoudinejad, M. and Ghaderpoori, M. 2019. Removal of pollutants (COD, TSS, and NO₃⁻) from textile effluent using *Gambusia fish* and *Phragmites australis* in constructed wetlands. *Environmental geochemistry and health*, 41(3), 1433–1444.
120. Sahu, O. 2014. Reduction of heavy metals from waste water by wetland. *International Letters of Natural Sciences*, 7, 35–43.
121. Saket, P., Mittal, Y., Bala, K., Joshi, A. and Yadav, A.K. 2022. Innovative constructed wetland coupled with microbial fuel cell for enhancing di-azo dye degradation with simultaneous electricity generation. *Biore-source Technology*, 345, 126490.
122. Sethulekshmi, S. and Chakraborty, S. 2021. Textile wastewater treatment using horizontal flow constructed wetland and effect of length of flow in operation efficiency. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 9(6), 106379.
123. Sharma, G. and Brighu, U. 2014. Performance analysis of vertical up-flow constructed wetlands for secondary treated effluent. *APCBEE procedia*, 10, 110–114.
124. Shaw, E.A. and Richardson, J.S. 2001. Direct and indirect effects of sediment pulse duration on stream invertebrate assemblages and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) growth and survival. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 58(11), 2213–2221.
125. Shehzadi, M., Afzal, M., Khan, M.U., Islam, E., Mobin, A., Anwar, S. and Khan, Q.M. 2014. Enhanced degradation of textile effluent in constructed wetland system using *Typha domingensis* and textile effluent-degrading endophytic bacteria. *Water research*, 58, 152–159.
126. Shenoy, A., Bansal, V. and Shukla, B.K. 2022. Treatability of effluent from small scale dye shop using water hyacinth. *Materials Today: Proceedings*.
127. Shenoy, A., Shukla, B.K. and Bansal, V. 2022. Sustainable design of textile industry effluent treatment plant with constructed wetland. *Materials Today: Proceedings*.
128. Sheoran, A. and Sheoran, V. 2006. Heavy metal removal mechanism of acid mine drainage in wetlands: a critical review. *Minerals engineering*, 19(2), 105–116.
129. Sinicrope, T. L., Langis, R., Gersberg, R. M., Busnardo, M. J. & Zedler, J. B. 1992. Metal removal by wetland mesocosms subjected to different hydroperiods. *Ecological Engineering*, 1(4), 309–322.
130. Sivakumar, D., Shankar, D., Prathima, A.V. and Valarmathi, M. 2013. Constructed wetland treatment

- of textile industry wastewater using aquatic macrophytes. *International journal of environmental sciences*, 3(4), 1223.
131. Schaechter, M. 2009. *Encyclopedia of microbiology*, Oxford, UK, Elsevier.
132. Scholz, M. 2011. *Wetland Systems, Storm Water Management Control*, London, Springer.
133. Scholz, M. 2015. *Wetland systems to control urban runoff*. Elsevier.
134. Scholz, M., Xu, J. & Dodson, H.I. 2001. Comparison of filter media, plant communities and microbiology within constructed wetlands treating wastewater containing heavy metals. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 76(8), 827–835.
135. Songliu, L., Hongying, H., Yingxue, S. & Jia, Y. 2009. Effect of carbon source on the denitrification in constructed wetlands. *Environmental sciences*, 21(8), 1036–1043
136. Sonu, K., Sogani, M., Syed, Z., Rajvanshi, J. and Sengupta, N. 2022. Effectiveness of rice husk in the removal of methyl orange dye in Constructed Wetland-Microbial Fuel Cell. *Bioresource Technology Reports*, 20, 101223.
137. Stefanakis, A.I. and Tsihrintzis, V.A. 2012. Effects of loading, resting period, temperature, porous media, vegetation and aeration on performance of pilot-scale vertical flow constructed wetlands. *Chemical engineering journal*, 181, 416–430.
138. Stefanakis, A., Akrotos, C.S. and Tsihrintzis, V.A. 2014. Vertical flow constructed wetlands: eco-engineering systems for wastewater and sludge treatment. *Newnes*.
139. Sukumaran, D. 2013. Phytoremediation of heavy metals from industrial effluent using constructed wetland technology. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, 1(5), 92–97.
140. Sumner, M.E. ed. 1999. *Handbook of soil science*. CRC press.
141. Tara, N., Arslan, M., Hussain, Z., Iqbal, M., Khan, Q.M. and Afzal, M. 2019a. On-site performance of floating treatment wetland macrocosms augmented with dye-degrading bacteria for the remediation of textile industry wastewater. *Journal of cleaner production*, 217, 541–548.
142. Tara, N., Iqbal, M., Mahmood Khan, Q. and Afzal, M. 2019b. Bioaugmentation of floating treatment wetlands for the remediation of textile effluent. *Water And Environment Journal*, 33(1), 124–134.
143. Tee, H.C., Lim, P.E., Seng, C.E., Nawi, M.A.M. and Adnan, R. 2015. Enhancement of azo dye Acid Orange 7 removal in newly developed horizontal subsurface-flow constructed wetland. *Journal of environmental management*, 147, 349–355.
144. Tousignant, E., Fankhauser, O. and Hurd, S. 1999. Guidance manual for the design, construction and operations of constructed wetlands for rural applications in Ontario.
145. USEPA, 2000. *A Handbook of Constructed Wetlands: A Guide to Creating Wetlands for: Agricultural Wastewater, Domestic Wastewater, Coal Mine Drainage Stormwater in the Mid – Atlantic Region: Volume 1: General Considerations*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, EPA report number 843B00005, ISBN 0-16-052999-9. Washington, D.C.
146. Van Der Zee, F. P. 2002. *Anaerobic azo dye reduction*. doctoral dissertation, Wageningen University.
147. Vohla, C., Kõiv, M., Bavor, H.J., Chazarenc, F. and Mander, Ü. 2011. Filter materials for phosphorus removal from wastewater in treatment wetlands—A review. *Ecological engineering*, 37(1), 70–89.
148. Vymazal, J. 1998. Removal mechanisms and types of constructed wetlands. *Constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment in Europe*, 17–66.
149. Vymazal, J., Greenway, M., Tonderski, K., Brix, H. and Mander, Ü. 2006. *Constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment. Wetlands and natural resource management*, 69–96.
150. Vymazal, J. 2007. Removal of nutrients in various types of constructed wetlands. *Science of the total environment*, 380(1–3), 48–65.
151. Vymazal, J. 2008. *Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment: A Review*. Proceedings of Taal 2007: The 12th World Lake Conference.
152. Vymazal, J. and Kröpfelová, L. 2009. Removal of nitrogen in constructed wetlands with horizontal sub-surface flow: a review. *Wetlands*, 29(4), 1114–1124.
153. Vymazal, J. 2013. The use of hybrid constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment with special attention to nitrogen removal: a review of a recent development. *Water research*, 47(14), 4795–4811.
154. Vymazal, J. 2014. Constructed wetlands for treatment of industrial wastewaters: a review. *Ecological Engineering*, 73(724–751).
155. Winkler, M.-K., Le, Q. H. & Volcke, E. I. 2015. Influence of partial denitrification and mixotrophic growth of NOB on microbial distribution in aerobic granular sludge. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 49(18), 11003–11010.
156. Winter, M. and Kickuth, R. 1989. Elimination of sulphur compounds from wastewater by the root zone process – I. Performance of a large-scale purification plant at a textile finishing industry. *Water Research*, 23(5), 535–546.
157. Yadav, A.K., Dash, P., Mohanty, A., Abbassi, R. and Mishra, B.K. 2012. Performance assessment of innovative constructed wetland-microbial fuel cell for electricity production and dye

- removal. *Ecological Engineering*, 47, 126–131.
158. Yalcuk, A. and Dogdu, G. 2014. Treatment of azo dye Acid Yellow 2G by using lab-scale vertical-flow intermittent feeding constructed wetlands. *J Selcuk Univ Nat Appl Sci*, 355–368.
159. Yaseen, D.A. and Scholz, M. 2019. Textile dye wastewater characteristics and constituents of synthetic effluents: a critical review. *International journal of environmental science and technology*, 16(2), 1193–1226.
160. Ye, J., Wang, L., Li, D., Han, W. and Ye, C. 2012. Vertical oxygen distribution trend and oxygen source analysis for vertical-flow constructed wetlands treating domestic wastewater. *Ecological Engineering*, 41, 8–12.
161. Zeb, B. S., Mahmood, Q., Jadoon, S., Pervez, A., Irshad, M., Bilal, M. & Bhatti, Z. A. 2013. Combined industrial wastewater treatment in anaerobic bioreactor posttreated in constructed wetland. *BioMed research international*, 2013.
162. Zhang, T., Stansbury, J.S. and Branigan, J. 2013. Development of a field test method for Total Suspended Solids analysis.
163. Zhou, X. and Xiang, X. 2013. Effect of different plants on azo-dye wastewater bio-decolorization. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 18, 540–546.